

**A STUDY ON ONLINE PURCHASE THROUGH SOCIAL MEDIA IN GUJARAT**

**STATE : MEN V/S WOMEN**

Authors:

Ms. Aqsa Durani,  
Research Scholar, GTU, Ahmedabad. .

Prof. Dr. Rajesh Faldu  
Prof. JVIMS, Jamnagar.

**Abstract:**

*Gujarat state having high number of Internet users have shown interest in online purchasing. Social media being more active now a days have helped companies to reach customers swiftly and efficiently. Factors affecting gender role in online purchase through social media in Gujarat state have been studied through cross sectional descriptive design with sample size of 71 using non-probability convenience sampling technique. It has been noted that there is a significant relationship between the gender role and online purchase through social media.*

*Findings include that no deal with sales people and updates on brands are the most influential factors for men, whereas reviews of friends and family, discounts and updates on brands are the most influential factors for women.*

Keywords: Online shopping, social media, factors, men, women

**Introduction**

With the increase of e-commerce activities in India, social media usage has increased more. Social media has become the important part of lifestyle as the number of internet users are more than 624 million and social media users are more than 448 million users<sup>[4]</sup>. Mostly everyone is interested in being an online shopper and want to skip the problems of dealing with

sales person and bargaining. Social media applications like Facebook, Instagram, Whatsapp

etc. has been a boon for online shopping as mostly all manufacturers want a quick connection with customers and provide update on brands and new styles.

### **Review of Literature**

**Uzan, Pothurak(2014)** suggests the factors affecting online shopping behaviour of consumers. The research focused on the factors affecting consumers in e-commerce and to find the relationship between e-satisfaction and e-loyalty. Findings included that there is significant relationship between e-satisfaction and e-loyalty. Convenience and trust were the important variables. Prices and quality of products were also considered important.

**Lin, Lekhawipat(2014)** suggests the factors affecting online repurchase intention. The researcher investigated the effects of online shopping experience and habit in relation to adjusted expectations for enhancing online repurchase intention. Partial least square technique was used to analyse the model with the sample size of 240 Taiwanese online shoppers. Findings include that the customer satisfaction was vital for adjusted expectations and online repurchase intention.

### **Rational of the Study**

Internet users are highly increased with the usage of social media. India ranked 9th in the e-commerce sector<sup>[3]</sup>. Smart phone penetration as well as Digital India programme have triggered e-commerce sector. Industries like agriculture, FMCG, medical etc have all entered in e-commerce sector and consumers are willingly buying through online mode.

Number of researchers have focused on the online shopping factors and attitude of customers towards it. There is a little research provided on the role of gender towards online shopping in Gujarat State.

### **Research Problem**

A study on online purchase through social media in Gujarat State: Men v/s Women

### **Scope of Study**

The scope of the study is limited to social media users in Gujarat State.

### **Research Objectives**

1. To identify the factors affecting gender role in online purchase through social media in Gujarat state
2. To provide suggestions to the marketers.

### **Hypothesis**

1.  $H_0$ : There is no significant relationship between male respondents and online purchase through social media.
2.  $H_0$ : There is significant relationship between female respondents and online purchase through social media.

### **Study Design and Method**

**Research Design:** Cross- Sectional Descriptive Research Design was used as the data is collected at the specific period of time.

**Sampling Design:** Non-probability convenience sampling technique was used to get the data collection by social media users.

**Data Collection:** Users were sent link via email and WhatsApp to fill the questionnaire. Non-probability convenience sampling technique was used to get the data collection by social media users.

**Measures:** Cronbach Alpha method was used to check the reliability which resulted to be 0.704. Thus supported reliability.

**Sample Size:** A total of 71 responses were collected.

**Table1: Demographic profile of the respondents**

| <b>Demographic Characteristics</b>         | <b>Percentage</b> |
|--|-------------------|
| <b>Gender</b>                              |                   |
| Male                                       | 50.7              |
| Female                                     | 49.3              |
| <b>Age</b>                                 |                   |
| 11-18                                      | 9.8               |
| 18-25                                      | 56.2              |
| 25 -36                                     | 18.3              |
| 36 or more                                 | 15.7              |
| <b>Frequency of being online</b>           |                   |
| Very low                                   | 7.8               |
| Low  | 10.5              |
| Moderate                                   | 56.9              |
| High                                       | 17.6              |
| Very High                                  | 7.2               |
| <b>Social media preferred for shopping</b> |                   |
| Facebook                                   | 47.1              |
| Instagram                                  | 20.9              |
| Youtube                                    | 4.6               |

|                               |      |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Whatsapp                      | 19.6 |
| Others                        | 7.8  |
| <b>Payment Mode preferred</b> |      |
| Credit Card                   | 9.8  |
| Debit Card                    | 23.3 |
| Net Banking                   | 9.8  |
| Demand Draft                  | 1.5  |
| Cash on Delivery              | 52.6 |
| Others                        | 3    |

Source: Questionnaire

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of the respondents . It shows that the frequency of being online is moderate, facebook is preferred more for shopping and cash on delivery mode is preferred as mode of payment.

**Table2: Factor Loadings**

| <b>Sr. No.</b> | <b>Statements</b>                                      | <b>Factors Loading</b> |
|----------------|--|------------------------|
| 1.             | Discounts/offers                                       | 0.59                   |
| 2.             | Can find products that are not available in the stores | 0.89                   |
| 3.             | Updates on brands                                      | 0.64                   |
| 4.             | No need to deal with sales people                      | 0.82                   |
| 5.             | Ease of product return and money refund                | 0.85                   |
| 6.             | Assurance of on-time delivery                          | 0.71                   |
| 7.             | Inclination towards trying something new               | 0.82                   |

|     |   |      |
|-----|---|------|
| 8.  | Reviews of friends and Family                                   | 0.80 |
| 9.  | Ease of comparing to find product at lower price                | 0.84 |
| 10. | Availability of consumer reviews and product rating information | 0.75 |

Source: SPSS

Table 2 shows that the factors like – Can find products that are not available in the stores, Ease of product return and money refund and Ease of comparing to find product at lower price positively influences the online purchase through social media.

### **Testing of Hypothesis:**

**H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between male respondents and online purchase through social media.**

**Table 3: ANOVA Single Factor – Male Respondents Analysis results**

| <b>Anova: single factor</b>                            |              |            |                |                 |
|--|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>Male</b>  |              |            |                |                 |
| <b>Summary</b>   |              |            |                |                 |
| <i>Factors</i>   | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
| Discounts/offers                                       | 36           | 50         | 1.388          | 0.353           |
| Can find products that are not available in the stores | 36           | 84         | 2.333          | 0.986           |
| Updates on brands                                      | 36           | 96         | 2.666          | 1.6             |
| No need to deal with sales people                      | 36           | 100        | 2.778          | 0.76            |
| Ease of product return and money refund                | 36           | 92         | 2.556          | 0.939           |

|   |        |     |        |          |         |        |
|---|--------|-----|--------|----------|---------|--------|
| Assurance of on-time delivery                                   | 36     | 78  | 2.167  | 0.371    |         |        |
| Inclination towards trying something new                        | 36     | 74  | 2.056  | 0.396    |         |        |
| Reviews of friends and family                                   | 36     | 72  | 2      | 0.571    |         |        |
| Ease of comparing to find product at lower price                | 36     | 46  | 1.277  | 0.320    |         |        |
| Availability of consumer reviews and product rating information | 36     | 70  | 1.944  | 0.625    |         |        |
|   |        |     |        |          |         |        |
| Anova   |        |     |        |          |         |        |
| Source of variation   | SS     | df  | MS     | F        | P-value | F crit |
| Between groups  | 81.433 | 9   | 9.0481 | 13.21357 | 0.00    | 1.9066 |
| Within groups   | 239.66 | 350 | 0.6847 |          |         |        |
|   |        |     |        |          |         |        |
| Total   | 321.1  | 359 |        |          |         |        |

Source: Excel

Table 3 shows that there is a significant relationship between male respondents and online purchase through social media (p value is 0.000). Factors that affect male respondents more are – no need to deal with sales people, updates on brands and Ease of product return and money refund. Factor which affects the least is discounts/offers and ease of comparing to find product at lower price.



**H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between female respondents and online purchase through social media.**

**Table 4: ANOVA Single Factor – Female Respondents Analysis results**

| <b>Female</b>   |              |            |                |                 |
|---|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Factors</i>  | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
| Discounts/offers  | 35           | 69         | 1.9714         | 0.381           |
| Can find products that are not available in the stores          | 35           | 70         | 2              | 0.41176         |
| Updates on brands   | 35           | 68         | 1.9428         | 0.4084          |
| No need to deal with sales people                               | 35           | 65         | 1.8571         | 0.36134         |
| Ease of product return and money refund                         | 35           | 66         | 1.8857         | 0.4571          |
| Assurance of on-time delivery                                   | 35           | 66         | 1.8857         | 0.5159          |
| Inclination towards trying something new                        | 35           | 64         | 1.8285         | 0.7932          |
| Reviews of friends and Family                                   | 35           | 75         | 2.1428         | 0.4789          |
| Ease of comparing to find product at lower price                | 35           | 60         | 1.7142         | 0.4453          |
| Availability of consumer reviews and product rating information | 35           | 64         | 1.8285         | 0.3226          |
| <b>ANOVA</b>  |              |            |                |                 |

| <i>Source of Variation</i>   | <i>SS</i> | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>P-</i>    | <i>F crit</i> |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------------|---------------|
|                              |           |           |           |          | <i>value</i> |               |
| <b><i>Between Groups</i></b> | 4.2885    | 9         | 0.4765    | 1.0412   | 0.04         | 1.9074        |
|                              |           |           |           |          | 0            | 5             |
| <b><i>Within Groups</i></b>  | 155.6     | 340       | 0.4576    |          |              |               |
| <b><i>Total</i></b>          | 159.88    | 349       |           |          |              |               |
|                              |           | 8         |           |          |              |               |
| Source: Excel                |           |           |           |          |              |               |

Table 4 shows that there is a significant relationship between female respondents and online purchase through social media (p value is 0.040). Factors that affect female respondents more are – Reviews of friends and family , can find products that are not available in the stores and discounts/offers.

## **Findings**

- Frequency of being online is moderate (56.9%) by the respondents.
- Facebook is preferred (47.1%) more for shopping.
- Cash on delivery mode is preferred as mode of payment (52.6%).
- Factors like – Can find products that are not available in the stores (0.89), Ease of product return and money refund (0.85) and Ease of comparing to find product at lower price (0.84) positively influences the online purchase through social media.
- There is a significant relationship between gender and online purchase through social media.
- Factors that affect male respondents more are – no need to deal with sales people, updates on brands and Ease of product return and money refund.

- Factor which affects the least is discounts/offers and ease of comparing to find product at lower price.
- Factors that affect female respondents more are – Reviews of friends and family, can find products that are not available in the stores and discounts/offers.

## **Conclusion**

In summary, there is a significant relationship between gender and online shopping of consumers. Factors affecting more are ease of product return and money return, ease of comparing the product at lower price, discounts, reviews of friends and family, can find products that are not available in the stores and not to deal with sales people. Few consumers seems to be suspicious while online shopping due to the news of cheat through internet. This study elaborates the advantages of online shopping and improves the knowledge for e-commerce and can be helpful in future studies.

## **Suggestions**

Facebook is being the highest used social media for shopping. So marketers may boost their marketing of products through Facebook. Marketers can increase their updation of brands with comparison of products with cheaper rate. They may also provide more promotional tools and be responsive towards the reviews and comments of consumers.

## **References**

- Hana Uzun, Mersid Poturak.(2014). Factors affecting online shopping behaviour of consumers. *European Journal of Social and Human Sciences*, 3(3), pp.163-170.
- Lin, C. and Lekhawipat, W. (2014). Factors affecting online repurchase intention. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 114 No. 4, pp. 597-611. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-10-2013-0432>

- Indian E-commerce Industry Analysis 2021.

<https://www.ibef.org/industry/ecommerce-presentation>

- India Social Media Statistics 2021. <https://www.theglobalstatistics.com/india-social-media-statistics/>